

**Open Recruitment Proposal Selection Committee for Industrial Promotion
Measures of the Bureau of Commerce, Industry and Labor, Hiroshima
Prefectural Government
Proposal Evaluation Standards**

Review Item	Review Standard	Evaluated Points
A: Novelty	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Does the proposal combine and make use of the latest sensing technology, edge computing, communication technology, clouds, robotics, AI, and the like? ○ Does the plan sufficiently survey and analyze the market needs, market scale, and existing or similar solutions, and then set itself apart in light of that? 	20
B: Degree of planning	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Are the steps from demonstration experiments to implementation and commercialization clearly shown? ○ Are the stages of demonstration experiments clearly shown, and are the goals to be achieved in each stage organized? ○ Are specific quantitative and qualitative targets set for achieving the proposed solution? 	20
C: Viability	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Is the implementation structure for the project sufficient? ○ Upon comprehensive evaluation of the consortium participants' business base, management capability, past results, and the like, can it be said that the participants are sufficient for achieving the project? ○ Based on the project's content, schedule, business situation, and the like, can the plan be deemed achievable? 	20
No. of basic points		60
Review Item	Review Standard	Evaluated Points
D: Expandability	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Has expandability, such as using digitalization to visualize, modelize, softwarize, and systemize the subject, been organized? ○ Can it be expected that providing data to the "Data Collaboration Platform (tentative title)," which is planned for later construction, will result in the creation of new services through data collaboration across fields? ○ Can it be expected that scholars will begin development or research of new technology through industry-academia-government collaboration, or that other corporations or regions will opt to implement similar solutions? ○ Does the plan enable for the project to continue to develop after demonstration experiments have been completed? 	20
E: Innovativeness	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Does the proposal make use of technology that has not been developed yet or research that is expected to be achieved in the future? ○ Can the creation of new and unprecedented business models be expected? ○ Can the project plan lead to demands for the nation to create new systems or enact deregulation based on the result of the demonstration experiments? 	20
F: Regionality	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Is the proposal unique to Hiroshima, making use of Hiroshima's regional resources or characteristics? ○ Does the proposal involve and broadly affect many regional residents and corporations, retaining the technology and knowledge in Hiroshima? 	20
No. of additional points		60
Total no. of points		120

- * The proposal with the highest evaluation for Innovativeness will be adopted upon review by the Selection Committee, regardless of the total number of points.
- * Proposals with a total score of less than 60% of a full score will not be considered candidates.
- * Of the total scores given by 6 reviewers, the highest and the lowest total scores will be removed and the sum of the remaining 4 total scores will be used as the review.

[Scoring standard for evaluation points]

Evaluation	Poor	Somewhat poor	Average	outstanding	Very outstanding
Points	1 to 4	5 to 8	9 to 12	13 to 16	17 to 20